arXiv:1703.08725v1 [math.RT] 25 Mar 2017

HOMOLOGICAL BEHAVIOR OF IDEMPOTENT SUBALGEBRAS AND EXT ALGEBRAS

CHARLES PAQUETTE AND COLIN INGALLS

Abstract. Let A be a (left and right) Noetherian ring that is semiperfect. Let e be an idempotent of A and consider the algebra $\Gamma := (1-e)A(1-e)$ and the semi-simple right A-module $S_e := eA/\mathrm{rad}A$. In this paper, we investigate the relationship between the global dimensions of A and Γ, by using the homological properties of S_e. More precisely, we consider the Yoneda algebra $Y(e) := \mathrm{Ext}^*_A(S_e, S_e)$ of e. We prove that if $Y(e)$ is artinian of finite global dimension, then A has finite global dimension if and only if so is Γ. We also investigate the situation where both A, Γ have finite global dimension. When A is Koszul finite dimensional, this implies that $Y(e)$ has finite global dimension. We end the paper with a reduction technique to compute the Cartan determiant of artin algebras. We prove that if $Y(e)$ has finite global dimension, then the Cartan determinants of A and Γ coincide. This provides a new way to approach the long-standing Cartan determinant conjecture.

1. Introduction

Given any ring or algebra A, a fundamental question to ask is whether A has finite global dimension or not. In algebraic geometry, the finiteness of the global dimension of an algebra is often associated with the smoothness of a geometric object attached to the algebra. Algebras of finite global dimension appear in the study of non-commutative resolutions. In homological algebra, if A has finite global dimension, then the bounded derived category of the category of A-modules can be replaced by the homotopy category of bounded complexes of projective modules, the latter being easier to study. A result of Happel [5] states that for a finite dimensional algebra A over a field k, the global dimension of A is finite if and only if the bounded derived category of finite dimensional modules has Serre duality. In commutative algebra, when A is Noetherian, Auslander and Buchsbaum have proven the well known fact that A is regular if and only if its global dimension is finite. This result has been proven independently by Serre in [9].

In this paper, A is an associative algebra that we assume to be (left and right) Noetherian and semiperfect. We investigate the relationship between the global dimensions of the algebras A and $\Gamma := (1-e)A(1-e)$ where e is any idempotent of A. In general, the finiteness of the global dimension of A is not equivalent to the finiteness of the global dimension of Γ. However, it seems that the semi-simple right A-module S_e supported at e controls this relationship. We consider the Yoneda algebra $Y(e) := \mathrm{Ext}^*_A(S_e, S_e)$ of e and our first theorem is the following.

The first author was partially supported by an NSERC Discovery Grant. The second author was supported in part by the NSF CAREER grant DMS-1254567 and by the University of Connecticut.

Theorem. *Assume that $Y(e)$ is (left and right) artinian and has finite global dimension. Then A has finite global dimension if and only if Γ has finite global dimension.*

If both A and Γ have finite global dimensions, then of course, $Y(e)$ is artinian. However, $Y(e)$ need not have finite global dimension. We suspect, however, that by imposing an acyclicity condition on e, this should be true; see Conjecture 4.7. When A is finite dimensional positively graded such that S_e has a linear projective resolution, we get the following.

Theorem. *Assume that A is finite dimensional positively graded such that S_e has a linear projective resolution. Then any two of the following conditions imply the third.*

(1) *The global dimension of A is finite.*
(2) *The global dimension of Γ is finite.*
(3) *The algebra $Y(e)$ is finite dimensional and has finite global dimension.*

The above theorem applies to finite dimensional Koszul algebras, since every semi-simple module over a Koszul algebra has a linear projective resolution. We end this paper with a new reduction technique to compute the Cartan determinant of an algebra. In particular, this gives a new tool to attack the long-standing Cartan determinant conjecture.

Proposition. *Assume that A is an artin algebra with both A and $Y(e)$ of finite global dimension. Then A and Γ have the same Cartan determinant and Γ has finite global dimension. In particular, if the Cartan determinant conjecture holds for the smaller algebra Γ, then it holds for A.*

2. Preliminaries and notations

Let A be an associative (left and right) Noetherian algebra that is semiperfect. In particular, A may be an artin algebra. We refer the reader to [1] for more details on semiperfect algebras. In particular, there is a complete set of pairwise orthogonal primitive idempotents $e_1, \dots, e_n$ of A. Since we are mainly concerned with homological algebra, there is no loss of generality in assuming that A is basic, that is, the projective right A-modules e_iA for $1 \leq i \leq n$ are pairwise non-isomorphic. We denote by $\operatorname{mod} A$ the category of finitely generated right A-module and by $\operatorname{rad}A$ the Jacobson radical of A. Since A is Noetherian semiperfect, any $M \in \operatorname{mod} A$ admits a minimal projective resolution whose terms are in $\operatorname{mod} A$. Observe also that the category $\operatorname{mod} A$ is Krull-Schmidt. In particular, an indecomposable projective A-module in $\operatorname{mod} A$ has to be isomorphic to one of the e_iA and an indecomposable simple A-module in $\operatorname{mod} A$ has to be isomorphic to one of the $e_jA/e_j\operatorname{rad}A$.

In this paper, e denotes an idempotent of A. The *rank* of e, denoted $\operatorname{rk}(e)$, is an integer between 0 and n such that $\operatorname{rk}(1) = n$ and if $e = e_1 + e_2$ with e_1, e_2 idempotents, then $\operatorname{rk}(e) = \operatorname{rk}(e_1) + \operatorname{rk}(e_2)$. In particular, e is primitive if and only if $\operatorname{rk}(e) = 1$. We set $\Gamma = (1-e)A(1-e) = \operatorname{End}_A((1-e)A)$, which we call an *idempotent subalgebra* of A. Observe that Γ is basic semiperfect Noetherian (see [1, Cor. 27.7] and [8, Prop. 2.3]) and has $n - \operatorname{rk}(e)$ non-isomorphic simple right Γ-modules. In this sense, when $e \neq 0$, the algebra Γ is smaller and could be easier to understand from the homological point of view.

To relate the algebras A and Γ, we need to introduce a third algebra. We set

$$Y(e) := \mathrm{Ext}^*_A(S_e, S_e) = \bigoplus_{i\geq 0}\mathrm{Ext}^i_A(S_e, S_e),$$

where S_e is the semi-simple right A-module $S_e := eA/\mathrm{erad}\, A$. By convention, $\mathrm{Hom}(-,-) = \mathrm{Ext}^0_A(-,-)$. The algebra $Y(e)$ is the *Yoneda algebra* or *Ext-algebra* of S_e. The multiplication in this algebra is induced by the Yoneda product of exact sequences. If $e = 1$, we simply call $Y(1)$ the *Yoneda algebra* or *Ext-algebra* of A. Observe that $Y(e)$ is naturally graded by the Ext-degree making it a positively graded algebra such that each graded piece $\mathrm{Ext}^i_A(S_e, S_e)$ is of finite length as an $\mathrm{Hom}_A(S_e, S_e)$-module. It is a (left and right) artinian algebra whenever A has finite global dimension. Although we will consider right A or Γ modules, it will be useful for us to study left $Y(e)$-modules. Note that $Y(e)$ has $\mathrm{rk}(e)$ non-isomorphic simple graded left (or right) $Y(e)$-modules. We will denote by $\mathrm{mod}\, Y(e)$ the category of locally finite graded left $Y(e)$-modules. Recall that $M \in \mathrm{mod}\, Y(e)$ if $M = \bigoplus_{j\in\mathbb{Z}} M(j)$ where for $i \geq 0, j \in \mathbb{Z}$, we have $\mathrm{Ext}^i_A(S_e, S_e) \cdot M(j) \subseteq M(i+j)$ and $M(j)$ is of finite length as an $\mathrm{Hom}_A(S_e, S_e)$-module.

Most of our homological computations will be made in derived categories. Let us introduce some notations concerning these derived categories. First, we denote by $D(A)$ the bounded derived category of $\mathrm{mod}\, A$ and by $D(\Gamma)$ the bounded derived category of $\mathrm{mod}\, \Gamma$. We let F denote the exact functor of triangulated categories $F = R\mathrm{Hom}_A((1-e)A, -) : D(A) \to D^b(\mathrm{mod}\, \Gamma)$. If $M \in D(A)$ is given by a complex of projective A-modules, since $(1-e)A$ is projective, $F(M)$ is obtained by applying $\mathrm{Hom}_A((1-e)A, -)$ to each term and each differential of the complex M. Moreover, when doing so, $F(M)$ is given by a complex of projective Γ-modules in $D(\Gamma)$. Let $D(R\mathrm{Hom}(S_e, S_e))$ denote the derived category of differential graded (written as dg for short)-modules over the dg-algebra $R\mathrm{Hom}(S_e, S_e)$. We denote by $G : D(A) \to \mathrm{mod}\, Y(e)$ the functor defined as the composite of $R\mathrm{Hom}(-, S_e) : D(A) \to D(R\mathrm{Hom}(S_e, S_e))$ with $H : D(R\mathrm{Hom}(S_e, S_e)) \to \mathrm{mod}\, Y(e)$, the latter being the functor which associates to a dg-module its total cohomology. Alternatively, we have

$$G = \bigoplus_{i\in\mathbb{Z}}\mathrm{Hom}_{D(A)}(-, S_e[i]) : D(A) \to \mathrm{mod}\, Y(e).$$

Let $M \in D(A)$. The degree i part $G(M)(i)$ of $G(M)$ is

$$G(M)(i) = \mathrm{Hom}_{D(A)}(M, S_e[i])$$

which is of finite length as an $\mathrm{Hom}_A(S_e, S_e)$-module. Let $f : M \to N$ be a morphism in $D(A)$. The degree i part of the morphism $G(f) : G(N) \to G(M)$ is $G(f)(i) = \mathrm{Hom}_{D(A)}(f, S_e[i])$. This is straightforward to check that $G(f) = (G(f)(i))_{i\in\mathbb{Z}}$ defines a morphism of graded $Y(e)$-modules. Let $\mathrm{add}(S_e)$ denote the full additive subcategory of $\mathrm{mod}\, A$ generated by S_e and which is closed under direct summands and isomorphisms. Observe that for S indecomposable in $\mathrm{add}(S_e)$, $G(S)$ is a (graded) finitely generated projective $Y(e)$-module generated in degree 0.

3. Projective covers and approximations

In this section, we will relate projective covers in $\mathrm{mod}\, Y(e)$ with some minimal left-approximations in $D(A)$. Denote by $\mathcal{S}_e$ the full additive subcategory of $D(A)$ generated by the shifts of the objects in $\mathrm{add}(S_e)$. Recall that $\mathcal{S}_e$ is said to be *covariantly finite* in $D(A)$ if for any $M \in D(A)$, there is a morphism $f_S : M \to S$

with $S \in \mathcal{S}_e$ such that $\mathrm{Hom}_{D(A)}(f_S, S')$ is surjective whenever $S' \in \mathcal{S}_e$. We start with the following easy lemma.

Lemma 3.1. *If A has finite global dimension, then $\mathcal{S}_e$ is covariantly finite in $D(A)$.*

Proof. Let $M \in D(A)$. Consider a complex $P^\bullet \in D(A)$

$$P^\bullet : \qquad \cdots \to P_{-2} \to P_{-1} \to P_0 \to P_1 \to \cdots$$

of finitely generated projective A-modules with radical differentials such that $M \cong P^\bullet$. Since A has finite global dimension, $P^\bullet$ is a bounded complex. We let S_i denote the top of P_{-i}. It is clear that $M \to \bigoplus_{j\in\mathbb{Z}} S_j[j]$ is a left $\mathcal{S}_e$-approximation of M. It need not be minimal. □

Note that since $\mathcal{S}_e$ is clearly a Krull-Schmidt category, any left $\mathcal{S}_e$-approximation $f : M \to S$ of an object $M \in D(A)$ can be made minimal: there is a direct summand S' of S such that the co-restriction f' of f to S' is again a left $\mathcal{S}_e$-approximation of M and any morphism $h : S' \to S'$ with $hf' = f'$ has to be an isomorphism.

Lemma 3.2. *Let $M \in D(A)$, $i \in \mathbb{Z}$ and $S \in \mathrm{add}(S_e[i])$. Then a graded morphism $h : G(S) \to G(M)$ is uniquely determined by $G(S)(i) \to G(M)(i)$ and in particular, there is a unique $f : M \to S$ such that $h = G(f)$.*

Proof. We may assume that S is indecomposable and that $i = 0$. Thus, we may assume that S is a direct summand of S_e. Then, $G(S)$ is indecomposable projective generated in degree 0. The first part is clear since h is graded. For the second part, since h is uniquely determined by $h_0 : \mathrm{Hom}(S, S_e) \to \mathrm{Hom}(M, S_e)$, we may take $f = h_0(\iota_S)$ where $\iota_S : S \to S_e$ is the split inclusion. □

Lemma 3.3. *Let $M \in D(A)$ and $f : M \to S$ be a minimal left $\mathcal{S}_e$-approximation of M in $D(A)$. Then $G(f) : G(S) \to G(M)$ is a graded projective cover in $\mathrm{mod}\, Y(e)$.*

Proof. By the approximation property, $\mathrm{Hom}(f, S_e[i])$ is an epimorphism for all integers i. This means that $G(f)(i) = \mathrm{Hom}(f, S_e[i]) : G(S)(i) \to G(M)(i)$ is an epimorphism for all i, and in particular, $G(f)$ is an epimorphism in $\mathrm{mod}\, Y(e)$. Since $S \in \mathcal{S}_e$, $G(S)$ is projective in $\mathrm{mod}\, Y(e)$. Now, assume that $h : P \to G(M)$ is a graded projective cover. Let P_i be the maximal summand generated in degree i in P and consider the restriction $h_i : P_i \to G(M)$. There is some $S \in \mathrm{add}(S_e)$ such that $P_i = G(S[i])$. By Lemma 3.2, there is a unique $g_i : M \to S[i]$ such that $G(g_i) = h_i$. Since h is a projective cover, by Lemma 3.2 again, we infer that $\oplus_{i\in\mathbb{Z}} g_i$ is a minimal left $\mathcal{S}_e$-approximation of M. Therefore, $\oplus_{i\in\mathbb{Z}} g_i$ is isomorphic to f and the result follows. □

4. Homological relationships between A, Γ and $Y(e)$

In this section, we investigate the relationships between the global dimensions of A, Γ and $Y(e)$. We start with our first result.

Proposition 4.1. *Suppose that A and $Y(e)$ have finite global dimension. Then Γ has finite global dimension.*

Proof. Let T be a simple A-module not in $\mathrm{add}(S_e)$ and take $S_1 \in \mathcal{S}_e$ with a minimal left $\mathcal{S}_e$-approximation $f_0 : T \to S_1$. Consider the cone C_1 of f_0. Applying $F = R\mathrm{Hom}((1-e)A, -)$ to the triangle

$$T \to S_1 \to C_1,$$

and using the fact that F vanishes on $\mathcal{S}_e$, we get that $F(T)$ is quasi-isomorphic to $F(C_1)[-1]$. Since f_0 is a left $\mathcal{S}_e$-approximation of T, we see that for all i, we have a short exact sequence

$$0 \to \mathrm{Ext}^i_A(C_0, S_e) \to \mathrm{Ext}^i_A(S_1, S_e) \to \mathrm{Ext}^i_A(T, S_e) \to 0.$$

Therefore, applying G, we get a short exact sequence

$$0 \to G(C_1) \to G(S_1) \to G(T) \to 0$$

in $\operatorname{mod} Y(e)$ where the rightmost morphism is a projective cover, by Lemma 3.3. In general, for $i \geq 1$, take $S_i \in \mathcal{S}_e$ with a minimal left $\mathcal{S}_e$-approximation $f_{i-1} : C_{i-1} \to S_i$. Consider the cone C_i of f_{i-1}. Then $F(C_i)[-i]$ is quasi-isomorphic to $F(T)$ and $G(C_i)$ is the i-th syzygy of $G(T)$. Since $Y(e)$ has finite global dimension, there is some $r \geq 1$ such that $G(C_r) = 0$. This means that there is a complex of projective modules $P^\bullet$, quasi-isomorphic to C_r, such that no direct summand of a term of $P^\bullet$ lies in $\operatorname{add}((1-e)A)$. Since $F(C_r)[-r]$ is quasi-isomorphic to $F(T)$, we see that $F(C_r)[-r]$ is a projective resolution of $F(T)$. Since T and all objects in $\mathcal{S}_e$ have bounded projective resolutions in $D^b(\operatorname{mod} A)$, we see that $P^\bullet$ is bounded and hence, $F(C_r)[-r]$ is a finite projective resolution. Since all simple modules in $\operatorname{mod}\Gamma$ are of the form $F(T)$ with T a simple A module not in $\operatorname{add}(S_e)$, $\operatorname{gl.dim}\Gamma$ is finite by [6, Prop. 2.2]. □

Remark 4.2. In the above proposition, let e be primitive. Since A has finite global dimension, we see that $Y(e)$ is artinian. Since $Y(e)$ is local, the only way it can have finite global dimension is when it is a simple algebra, that is, $\mathrm{Ext}^i_A(S_e, S_e) = 0$ for all $i > 0$. Therefore, for e idempotent, the statement reads as: If A has finite global dimension and $\mathrm{Ext}^i_A(S_e, S_e) = 0$ for all $i > 0$, then Γ has finite global dimension. This is Proposition 4.4 (3) in [6].

We continue our investigation with the following.

Proposition 4.3. *Suppose that Γ has finite global dimension and $Y(e)$ is artinian. Then A has finite global dimension.*

Proof. Let T be a simple A-module. Denote by Ω_j its j-th syzygy. Assume first that T lies in $\operatorname{add}(S_e)$. Since $Y(e)$ is artinian, there is some $j \geq 0$ such that Ω_j is such that $\mathrm{Ext}^i_A(\Omega_j, S_e) = 0$ for all $i \geq 0$. Then, the projective dimension of $F(\Omega_j)$, which is finite since $\operatorname{gl.dim}\Gamma < \infty$, coincides with the projective dimension of Ω_j. Now, the projective dimension of T is j plus the projective dimension of Ω_j, and thus is finite. Since A is Noetherian, a finitely generated module is projective if and only if it is flat. Therefore, S_e has finite flat dimension. Similarly, $Ae/\mathrm{rad}Ae$ has finite flat dimension in $\operatorname{mod} A^{\mathrm{op}}$. In particular, there exists some $m > 0$ with $\mathrm{Tor}^i_A(S_e, -) = 0, \mathrm{Tor}^i_A(-, Ae/\mathrm{rad}Ae) = 0$ whenever $i \geq m$. Let now consider the case where T is simple not in $\operatorname{add}(S_e)$. Since $\mathrm{Tor}^i_A(T, Ae/\mathrm{rad}Ae) = 0$ for $i \geq m$, it means that no direct summand of eA appears in a minimal projective resolution of Ω_m. As argued above, this implies that T has finite projective dimension. Since A is Noetherian semiperfect, the global dimension of A is the projective dimension of $A/\mathrm{rad}A$; see [6, Prop. 2.2]. □

Remark 4.4. In the previous proposition, one cannot replace the condition "$Y(e)$ is artinian" by the condition "$Y(e)$ has finite global dimension". For instance, consider the Nakayama algebra A of rank 3 over a field k with a vanishing radical

squared. In other words, A is the Koszul algebra of the oriented cycle of length 3 with all possible quadratic relations. Take e to be any primitive idempotent. Then Γ is hereditary and $Y(e)$ is a polynomial algebra over k. Indeed, $Y(e)$ is an idempotent subalgebra of the quadratic dual $Y(1) = A^!$ of A, where $A^!$ is the Koszul algebra of the oriented cycle of length 3 without relations. In particular, both $\Gamma, Y(e)$ have finite global dimensions. However, A has infinite global dimension.

The next result follows directly from propositions 4.1 and 4.3.

Corollary 4.5. *Assume that $Y(e)$ is artinian and has finite global dimension. Then A has finite global dimension if and only if Γ has finite global dimension.*

The following result is surprising.

Corollary 4.6. *Let A be finite dimensional over a field $k = \bar{k}$ and assume that e is primitive. Assume further that $\operatorname{gl.dim}\Gamma < \infty$. Then either $\operatorname{Ext}^i_A(S_e, S_e)$ is non-zero for infinitely many i, or else it vanishes for all positive i.*

Proof. Assume that $\operatorname{Ext}^i_A(S_e, S_e)$ vanishes for i sufficiently large. Then $Y(e)$ is finite dimensional. It follows from Proposition 4.3 that A has finite global dimension. It follows form the validity of the Strong no loop conjecture in this setting, see [7], that $\operatorname{Ext}^1_A(S_e, S_e) = 0$. Now, it follows from [6, Theo. 6.5] that $\operatorname{Ext}^i_A(S_e, S_e) = 0$ for all $i > 0$. □

The next step in our investigation would be to assume the finiteness of the global dimensions of A, Γ and see if we can get some homological properties for $Y(e)$. In general, the fact that both A, Γ have finite global dimension does not imply that $Y(e)$ has finite global dimension. Indeed, by taking the extreme case $e = 1$, we reduce to the question of whether A being of finite global dimension implies that the Yoneda algebra $Y(1)$ of A is of finite global dimension. This is not true and it is easy to find counter-examples of this statement. The other extreme case is when e is primitive. In this case, it was proven in [6] that if A is a k-algebra over a field k, $A/\operatorname{rad}A$ is finite dimensional and $\operatorname{Ext}^1_A(S_e, S_e) = 0$, then A, Γ both have finite global dimensions imply that $\operatorname{Ext}^1_A(S_e, S_e) = 0$ for all $i > 0$. In particular, $Y(e)$ has finite global dimension (it is a one dimensional k-algebra). Therefore, a condition on e seems necessary.

The *Ext-quiver* Q_e of e is obtained as follows. Decompose e into a sum of $\operatorname{rk}(e)$ pairwise orthogonal primitive idempotents, say $e = e_1 + \cdots + e_{\operatorname{rk}(e)}$. The vertices of Q_e are $e_1, \ldots, e_{\operatorname{rk}(e)}$. For $1 \leq i, j \leq \operatorname{rk}(e)$, we put an arrow between e_i and e_j if $\operatorname{Ext}^1_A(S_{e_i}, S_{e_j}) \neq 0$. Observe that if $A = kQ/I$ where Q is a finite quiver, k is a field and I is an admissible ideal of kQ, then Q_e is the full subquiver of Q corresponding to the vertices $e_1, e_2, \ldots, e_{\operatorname{rk}(e)}$, where parallel arrows are identified. We will call e *acyclic* if Q_e has no oriented cycles. Observe that if $A = kQ/I$ is as above and e is primitive and the projective dimension of S_e is finite (or if the global dimension of A is finite), then e is acyclic. This indeed follows from the validity of the Strong no loop conjecture; see [7]. We make the following conjecture.

Conjecture 4.7. *Assume that e is acyclic. If both A, Γ have finite global dimensions, then so does $Y(e)$.*

As observed above, this conjecture holds if e is primitive, A is an algebra over a field k and $A/\operatorname{rad}A$ is finite dimensional. In the next section, we will see that the

conjecture holds true when A is finite dimensional positively graded with S_e having a linear projective resolution. In particular, the conjecture holds true when A is finite dimensional Koszul. Moreover, acyclicity of e is not needed in this case.

Let us denote by $\mathcal{T}$ the Serre subcategory of $\operatorname{mod} A$ generated by the objects in $\operatorname{add}(S_e)$. In other words, $\mathcal{T}$ is the full subcategory of the modules M with $\operatorname{Hom}_A((1-e)A, M) = 0$. The following holds in general but will be used in the next section to establish the conjecture when A is Koszul.

Lemma 4.8. *Let $f : Q \to P$ be a morphism in $\operatorname{mod} A$ with P projective in $\operatorname{add}((1-e)A)$ such that $F(f) = \operatorname{Hom}_A((1-e)A, f)$ a section. Then $Q = Q_1 \oplus Q_2$ where Q_1 is projective in $\operatorname{add}((1-e)A)$ and $Q_2 \in \mathcal{T}$.*

Proof. Let K be the kernel of f. Since $F(f)$ is a monomorphism, $F(K) = 0$, so $K \in \mathcal{T}$. Let S be a maximal quotient of Q lying in $\mathcal{T}$. We have a short exact sequence

$$0 \to Q' \xrightarrow{h} Q \to S \to 0$$

with $\operatorname{Hom}(Q', S_e) = 0$. Moreover, Q', S are finitely generated since A is Noetherian. We have $F(fh) = F(f)F(h)$ where $F(h)$ is an isomorphism. Thus, $F(fh)$ is a section and the top of Q' is sent injectively into the top of P by f. There is a direct summand R of P such that the co-restriction $f' : Q \to R$ of f to R is such that $f'h$ is an isomorphism. Therefore, h is a section, so $Q \cong Q' \oplus S$ with Q' finitely generated projective. □

Remark 4.9 (Recollements)**.** It is well known that the idempotent $1-e$ induces a recollement of $\operatorname{Mod} A$ by $\operatorname{Mod}\Gamma$ and $\operatorname{Mod} A/A(1-e)A$. However, in general, the three algebras $A, \Gamma, A/A(1-e)A$ are very different on their homological aspects. In particular, the finiteness of the global dimension of A does not imply the finiteness of the global dimensions of the algebras $\Gamma, A/A(1-e)A$. There is a slightly different situation when considering derived categories. Consider $\mathcal{X}$ the smallest full triangulated subcategory of the (unbounded) derived category $\mathcal{D}(A)$ of A containing $(1-e)A$ and that is closed under small coproducts. It is not hard to see that $\mathcal{X}$ is triangle-equivalent to the (unbounded) derived category $\mathcal{D}(\Gamma)$ of Γ. Now, set $\mathcal{Y}$ the full subcategory of $\mathcal{D}(A)$ of objects Y with $\operatorname{Hom}_{\mathcal{D}(A)}(X, Y) = 0$ for all $X \in \mathcal{X}$. Clearly, $\mathcal{Y}$ is a triangulated subcategory of $\mathcal{D}(A)$ and coincides with the full triangulated subcategory of $\mathcal{D}(A)$ of the objects having cohomologies annihilated by $(1-e)$. Consider the dg-algebra $B := R\operatorname{Hom}(S_e, S_e)$. It follows from [2, Prop. 3.4] that there is a recollement of $\mathcal{D}(A)$ by $D(B)$ and $\mathcal{D}(\Gamma)$. Again, the finiteness of the global dimension of A does not seem to imply any nice (obvious) homological behavior for $D(B)$ and $\mathcal{D}(\Gamma)$. Notice, though, that the cohomological algebra of B is precisely $Y(e)$.

5. Positively graded finite dimensional algebras

Let k be a field. In this section, we assume that A is a finite dimensional k-algebra that is positively graded, that is, we have

$$A = A(0) \oplus A(1) \oplus \cdots$$

as a k-vector space, $A(0)$ is a finite product of copies of k and for $i, j \geq 0$, we have $A(i)A(j) \subseteq A(i+j)$. All A-modules considered will be graded right A-module.

Given a graded module $M = \bigoplus_{j\in\mathbb{Z}} M(j)$, we let $M\langle i\rangle$ denote the graded module M' with $M'(j) = M(i+j)$.

Recall that A is *Koszul* if (it is positively graded and) the semi-simple A-module $A(0)$ has a linear (graded) projective resolution. In other words, there is a graded projective resolution

$$\cdots \to P_1 \to P_0 \to A(0) \to 0$$

of $A(0)$ such that for $i \geq 0$, the projective module P_i is finitely generated in degree i, so is a (graded) direct summand of a direct sum of copies of $A\langle i\rangle$. In this case, the given projective resolution has to be minimal. Observe that S_e is a direct summand of $A(0)$. In this section, we prove Conjecture 4.7 in case S_e has a linear projective resolution. Observe that if S_e has a linear projective resolution, then any $S \in \mathcal{S}_e$ may be given by a complex of projective modules with all morphisms linear. Indeed, let $S = S_1 \oplus \cdots \oplus S_r$ where each S_i is a shift by t_i of an indecomposable simple A-module in $\mathrm{add}(S_e)$. Then we may replace S by $S_1\langle t_1\rangle \oplus \cdots \oplus S_r\langle t_r\rangle$. It is now clear that S can be given by a complex of finitely generated projective modules

$$\cdots \to Q_{-2} \to Q_{-1} \to Q_0 \to \cdots$$

that is bounded above and where Q_{-i} is generated in degree i for all i. Using this fact, the following lemma is evident.

Lemma 5.1. *Let $S_1, S_2 \in \mathcal{S}_e$ be represented by linear complexes of projective modules and $f : S_1 \to S_2$. Then $f = (f_i)_{i\in\mathbb{Z}}$ can be chosen, up to homotopy, so that all f_i are homogeneous of degree zero.*

The following can be checked directly using the definition of left $\mathcal{S}_e$-approximations.

Lemma 5.2. *Let $C \in D(A)$ with a minimal left $\mathcal{S}_e$-approximation $C \to S$ and consider the induced triangle $C[-1] \xrightarrow{u} S[-1] \xrightarrow{v} C' \to C$. Then* $\mathrm{ker}\mathrm{Hom}(u, -) \mid_{\mathcal{S}_e} \cong \mathrm{Hom}(C', -) \mid_{\mathcal{S}_e}$.

Let $C_1, \mathcal{C}_2, \ldots, C_r$ be complexes of finitely generated projective A-modules

$$C_i : \cdots \to P_{i,j-1} \xrightarrow{d_{i,j-1}} P_{i,j} \xrightarrow{d_{i,j}} P_{i,j+1} \to \cdots$$

with morphisms $f_i = (f_{i,j} : P_{i,j} \to P_{i+1,j}) : C_i \to C_{i+1}$ for $1 \leq i \leq r-1$. Assume that $f_{i+1,j}f_{i,j} = 0$ for all $1 \leq i \leq r-2$ and all j. Therefore, we have a double complex of projective modules and we may consider the total complex $T(C_1, \ldots, C_r)$ given by

$$\cdots \to \bigoplus_{i+j=1} P_{i,j} \to \bigoplus_{i+j=2} P_{i,j} \to \cdots$$

where the differential $d_l : \bigoplus_{i+j=l} P_{i,j} \to \bigoplus_{i+j=l+1} P_{i,j}$ is the usual differential such that its restriction to $P_{i,l-i}$ is given by $(-1)^i d_{i,l-i} + f_{i,l-i}$.

Lemma 5.3. *Let $M_0 \in D(A)$ be a graded A-module admitting a linear projective resolution. For $i \geq 0$, let $f_i : M_i \to S_i$ be a minimal left $\mathcal{S}_e$-approximation of M_i with a distinguished triangle $M_{i+1} \to M_i \to S_i \to M_{i+1}[1]$. For each $j \leq -1$, we have a short exact sequence*

$$0 \to H^{j-1}(S_i) \to H^j(M_{i+1}) \to H^j(M_i) \to 0$$

in cohomology.

Proof. Let $g_i : S_i[-1] \to M_{i+1}$ be such that we have a triangle

$$S_i[-1] \xrightarrow{g_i} M_{i+1} \to M_i$$

and set $h_i := (f_{i+1}g_i)[i+1] : S_i[i] \to S_{i+1}[i+1]$. We prove, by induction, that M_i is quasi-isomorphic to the total complex

$$T(M_0, S_0, S_1[1], S_2[2], \ldots, S_{i-1}[i-1])$$

with morphisms $f_0 : M_0 \to S_0, h_0 : S_0 \to S_1[1], h_1 : S_1[1] \to S_2[2], \ldots, h_{i-2} : S_{i-2}[i-2] \to S_{i-1}[i-1]$ each of which is made from morphisms of projective modules homogeneous of degree zero. For convenience, we write $h_{-1} = f_0$. There is nothing to prove for $i = 0$. For $i = 1$, this follows from Lemma 5.1 and the definition of the cone of f_0. Assume that the result holds for $i \geq 1$. It follows from Lemma 5.2 that $f_i : M_i \to S_i$ is given by the data of a morphism $u_i : S_{i-1}[-1] \to S_i$ whose composition with $f_{i-1}[-1]$ is zero. Let u_i be such a morphism. By Lemma 5.1, u_i is given by morphisms of degree zero. Set $h_{i-1} = u_i[i] = f_i g_{i-1}[i]$. We see that $h_{i-1}h_{i-2} = (f_i g_{i-1})[i](f_{i-1}g_{i-2})[i-1] = f_i[i](g_{i-1}[i]f_{i-1}[i-1])g_{i-2}[i-1] = 0$. Since h_{i-2}, h_{i-1} are homogeneous of degree zero and since the differentials in S_{i-2}, S_i are all radical, we see that the morphisms $h_{i-2,j}h_{i-1,j}$ are all zero. It follows from this that M_{i+1}, which is the shift by -1 of the cone of f_i, is of the required form. Using this form, it is easy to deduce the statement on cohomologies. □

Theorem 5.4. *Let A be positively graded finite dimensional and assume that S_e has a linear projective resolution. If the global dimensions of A and Γ are finite, then the global dimension of $Y(e)$ is finite.*

Proof. Let T be a simple A-module in $\mathrm{add}(S_e)$ with syzygy M_0. For $i \geq 0$, let $S_i \in \mathcal{S}_e$ with a minimal left $\mathcal{S}_e$-approximation $f_i : M_i \to S_i$ with cone $M_{i+1}[1]$. Applying G to the triangle

$$M_{i+1} \to M_i \to S_i \to M_{i+1}[1],$$

we get a short exact sequence

$$0 \to G(M_{i+1}[1]) \to G(S_i) \to G(M_i) \to 0$$

in $\mathrm{mod}\, Y(e)$ where the rightmost morphism is a projective cover, since f_i is minimal. Observe also that all $F(M_i)$ are quasi-isomorphic to $F(M_0)$ and the M_i are all concentrated in non-positive degrees. Also, it follows from the construction of the M_i that for $j \leq -1$, we have $H^j(M_i) \in \mathcal{T}$. Let s be the projective dimension of the Γ-module $F(M_0)$. We need to prove that $G(M_i) = 0$ for some $i \geq 0$. Equivalently, we need to prove that if i is large enough and M_i is given by a complex of projective modules with radical maps, then no term has a non-zero direct summand in $\mathrm{add}(eA)$.

Let i be a non-negative integer and j be minimal such that $\mathrm{Hom}_{D(A)}(M_i, S_e[j]) \neq 0$. We claim that there is $r > 0$ such that $\mathrm{Hom}_{D(A)}(M_{i+r}, S_e[j']) = 0$ for $j' \leq j$. In the minimal left $\mathcal{S}_e$-approximation $f_i : M_i \to S_i$ of M_i, there is a non-zero module Z in $\mathrm{add}(S_e)$ such that $Z[j]$ is a direct summand of S_i. According to Lemma 5.3, the dimension of $H^{j+1}(M_{i+1})$ is larger than that of $H^{j+1}(M_i)$. Now, M_{i+1} has the property that $\mathrm{Hom}_{D(A)}(M_{i+1}, S_e[j']) = 0$ if $j' < j$. Therefore, we see that

$$\cdots \dim_k H^{j+1}(M_{i+2}) \geq \dim_k H^{j+1}(M_{i+1}) \geq \dim_k H^{j+1}(M_i).$$

Since the $j+1$-th terms of $M_i, M_{i+1}, \ldots$ are all the same and finite dimensional, there is a bound on the dimensions of $H^{j+1}M_i, H^{j+1}M_{i+1}, \ldots$. The claim follows.

Assume to the contrary that $G(M_i) \neq 0$ for all $i \geq 0$. It follows from the claim and Lemma 5.3 that there are $t, r > 0$ such that $\mathrm{Hom}_{D(A)}(M_t, S_e[j]) = 0$ for $1 \leq j \leq s+r$ and $H^{-s-r+1}(M_t)$ is non-zero. Set $q = -s-r+1$. Let $d_q : P_q \to P_{q+1}$ be the differential with kernel K. Observe that $\mathrm{Hom}_A(P_{q-1}, S_e) = 0$. Let K' be a submodule of K with $K/K' \cong H^q(M_t) \neq 0$. Now, the monomorphism $h : K \to P_q$ is such that $F(h)$ is a section. By Lemma 4.8, $K = K_1 \oplus K_2$ where K_1 is projective in $\operatorname{mod} A$ and $K_2 \in \mathcal{T}$. Moreover, the restriction $K_1 \to P_q$ is a section. If we assume that all maps in M_t are radical, we get $K_1 = 0$. Thus, $K = K_2$ and $K' = 0$ and $P_{q-1} = 0$. If all P_i for $i \leq q-1$ are zero, we get a contradiction. Otherwise, there is some $p \leq q-2$ with $P_p \neq 0$ and $\mathrm{Hom}_A(P_p, S_e) \neq 0$. Then, considering the minimal approximation $M_t \to S_t$, there is a non-zero direct summand of $S_e[p]$ in S_t. We get a contradiction to Lemma 5.3, as $H^p M_t \to H^p(S_t)$ is non-zero. □

The following corollary is a direct consequence of propositions 4.1 and 4.3 and Theorem 5.4.

Corollary 5.5. *Assume that A is finite dimensional positively graded and S_e has a linear projective resolution. Then any two of the following conditions imply the third.*

(1) *The global dimension of A is finite.*
(2) *The global dimension of Γ is finite.*
(3) *The algebra $Y(e)$ is finite dimensional and has finite global dimension.*

Now, the next result is a particular case of the above corollary.

Corollary 5.6. *Let A be a finite dimensional Koszul algebra. Then any two of the following conditions imply the third.*

(1) *The global dimension of A is finite.*
(2) *The global dimension of Γ is finite.*
(3) *The algebra $Y(e)$ is finite dimensional and has finite global dimension.*

6. Reduction for computing the Cartan determinant

Assume that A is an artin algebra. Thus, if R denotes the center of A, then A is of finite length as an R-module. A long-standing conjecture in representation theory of artin algebras is the Cartan determinant conjecture. It states that if $\operatorname{gl.dim} A < \infty$, then the determinant of a Cartan matrix C_A of A is one. The reader is referred to [4] for a survey on this conjecture.

Let $1 = e_1 + \cdots + e_n$ be a decomposition of 1 into pairwise orthogonal primitive idempotents. Let C_A be the Cartan matrix of A associated to this decomposition with that order. The (i, j) entry of C_A is the length over R of $e_j A e_i$. Of course, the matrix C_A does depend on the above decomposition of 1 and hence, is not unique. However, one can show that the determinant $\mathrm{cd}(A)$ of any Cartan matrix of A is always the same and hence is a numerical invariant of A. A well known result due to Eilenberg says that C_A is invertible over $\mathbb{Z}$ whenever $\operatorname{gl.dim} A < \infty$. Therefore, in this case, $\det C_A = \mathrm{cd}(A) = \pm 1$. So far, there is no known example of an artin algebra A having finite global dimension with $\mathrm{cd}(A) = -1$.

Proposition 6.1. *Assume that* $\operatorname{gl.dim} A < \infty$ *and let e be any idempotent of A and put $\Gamma = (1-e)A(1-e)$. Assume further that* $\operatorname{gl.dim} Y(e) < \infty$. *Then*

$\mathrm{cd}(A) = \mathrm{cd}(\Gamma)$ *where* Γ *has finite global dimension. In particular, if the Cartan determinant conjecture holds for the smaller algebra* Γ*, then it holds for* A*.*

Proof. We may assume that $e = e_1 + \cdots + e_r$ for some $1 \leq r \leq n$. Consider the Euler matrix $E := (C_A^{-1})^t$. Let X be the $r \times r$ submatrix of C_A generated by the r first rows and columns, and let Y be the $(n-r) \times (n-r)$ submatrix of C_A^{-1} generated by the $n-r$ last rows and columns. A well-known formula in linear algebra, see [3], gives

$$\mathrm{det}C_A = \frac{\mathrm{det}X}{\mathrm{det}Y}$$

whenever $\mathrm{det}Y$ is nonzero. Since $Y(e)$ has finite global dimension, a result of Wilson [10] says that the graded Cartan determinant $\mathrm{det}C_{Y(e)}(t)$ of $Y(e)$ is one. Putting $t = -1$, we get $\mathrm{det}C_{Y(e)}(-1) = \mathrm{det}Y^t = 1$, so $\mathrm{det}Y = 1$. As, clearly, $\mathrm{det}X$ is the Cartan determinant $\mathrm{cd}(\Gamma)$ of Γ, we get

$$\mathrm{cd}(A) = \mathrm{cd}(\Gamma).$$

□

Note that we may take $e = 1$ in the above proposition. In this case, $\Gamma = 0$ and by convention, $\mathrm{cd}(\Gamma) = 1$. Thus, if $Y(1)$ has finite global dimension, then the Cartan determinant conjecture is verified for A. Regarding the assumption of this proposition, it is generally easier to check the finiteness of the global dimension of Γ rather than that of $Y(e)$. The following result holds provided Conjecture 4.7 holds.

Proposition 6.2 (Assuming Conj. 4.7)**.** *Assume that* $\mathrm{gl.dim}\, A < \infty$ *and let* e *be an acyclic idempotent of* A *and put* $\Gamma = (1-e)A(1-e)$*. Assume further that* $\mathrm{gl.dim}\, \Gamma < \infty$*. Then* $\mathrm{cd}(A) = \mathrm{cd}(\Gamma)$*. In particular, if the Cartan determinant conjecture holds for the smaller algebra* Γ*, then it holds for* A*.*

References

[1] F.W. Anderson and K.R. Fuller, *Rings and categories of modules*, Second edition. Graduate Texts in Mathematics, 13. Springer-Verlag, New York, 1992. x+376 pp.

[2] S. Bazzoni and A. Pavarin, *Recollements from partial tilting complexes*, J. Algebra **388** (2013), 338–363.

[3] Z. Gong, M. Aldeen and L. Elsner, *A note on the generalized Cramer's rule*, Linear Algebra and its Applications **340** (2002), 253–254.

[4] K.R. Fuller, *The Cartan determinant and global dimension of Artinian rings*, Azumaya algebras, actions, and modules (Bloomington, IN, 1990), 51–72, Contemp. Math., 124, Amer. Math. Soc., Providence, RI, 1992.

[5] D. Happel, *Auslander-Reiten triangles in derived categories of finite-dimensional algebras*, Proc. Amer. Math. Soc. **112** (1991), no. 3, 641–648.

[6] C. Ingalls and C. Paquette, *Homological dimensions for co-rank one idempotent subalgebras*, to appear in Trans. Amer. Math. Soc., 24 pages, 2015, arXiv:1405.5429.

[7] K. Igusa, S. Liu and C. Paquette *A proof of the strong no loop conjecture*, Adv. Math. **228** (2011), no. 5, 2731–2742.

[8] F.L. Sandomierski, *Modules over the endomorphism ring of a finitely generated projective module*, Proc. Amer. Math. Soc. **31** (1972) 27–31.

[9] J.-P. Serre, *Sur la dimension homologique des anneaux et des modules noethÃ©riens*, Proceedings of the international symposium on algebraic number theory, Tokyo & Nikko, 1955, pp. 175–189. Science Council of Japan, Tokyo, 1956.

[10] G.V. Wilson *The Cartan map on categories of graded modules*, J. Algebra **85** (1983), no. 2, 390–398.

Department of Mathematics, University of Connecticut, Storrs, CT 06269-3009, USA
E-mail address: charles.paquette@usherbrooke.ca

Department of Mathematics and Statistics, University of New Brunswick, Fredericton, NB E3B 5A3, Canada
E-mail address: cingalls@unb.ca